II. <u>IDENTIFICATION OF PARTIES</u>

A. PLAINTIFF

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 2. *Injured Plaintiff:* Name of the individual sexually assaulted, battered, harassed, and/or otherwise attacked by an Uber driver with whom they were paired while using the Uber platform: T.L.
- 3. At the time of the filing of this Amended Bellwether Complaint, Plaintiff resides at: Canton, Georgia

B. **DEFENDANT(S)**

4. Plaintiff names the following Defendants in this action.

☑ UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;²

☑ RASIER, LLC;³

☑ RASIER-CA, LLC.4

C. RIDE INFORMATION

- 5. Plaintiff was sexually assaulted, harassed, battered, and/or otherwise attacked by an Uber driver in connection with an Uber ride in Canton, Georgia on October 29, 2023.
 - 6. Plaintiff was the owner of the Uber account used to request the relevant ride.
 - 7. Plaintiff ordered an Uber ride to go see a friend around 3:40 a.m.
 - 8. The driver's name was

11. After picking Plaintiff up at about 3:47 a.m. on October 29, 2023, drove into a cul-de-sac with Plaintiff.

² Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in California.
³ Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in California.

⁴ Delaware corporation with a principal place of business in California.

- 12. It was completely dark outside.
- 13. The doors were locked.
- 14. Plaintiff was petrified.
- 15. The driver got out of the car and opened the back door.
- 16. Plaintiff asked the driver if he was going to kill her.
- 17. He said "no."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- 18. The driver got into the back seat and took Plaintiff's pants off.
- 19. She had always been afraid of men and so was in shock.
- 20. She was a virgin.
- 21. The driver raped her and ejaculated on her stomach.
- 22. While the driver stopped and raped Plaintiff, Uber was receiving real-time GPS data from the driver's phone and the Plaintiff's phone, showing that the driver had made an unexpected stop and that the driver and Plaintiff were still together.
- 23. For 15 minutes, Uber received GPS pings showing that the driver and Plaintiff were still at the same location, together, not moving.
 - 24. Uber did not take any action.
- 25. The conduct described in the Master Long-Form Complaint and herein was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff to suffer economic and non-economic harm.

III. CAUSES OF ACTION ASSERTED

26. The following Causes of Action asserted in the Master Long-Form Complaint, including all allegations in support, are adopted in this Amended Bellwether Complaint by reference:

Check if Applicable	Cause of Action Number	Cause of Action
V	I	CLAIM B - NEGLIGENCE (excluding entrustment theory)
	II	CLAIM C - FRAUD AND MISREPRESENTATION
Ø	III	CLAIM E - COMMON CARRIER'S NON-DELEGABLE DUTY TO PROVIDE SAFE TRANSPORTATION
	VI	CLAIM G.1 - VICARIOUS LIABILITY – EMPLOYEE
	VI	CLAIM G.2 - VICARIOUS LIABILITY – APPARENT AGENCY

1 2	Check if Applicable	Cause of Action Number	on Control of the Con					
3		VII	VII CLAIM G.3 - VICARIOUS LIABILITY–RATIFICATION					
3			CLAIM H - STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY – DESIGN DEFECT					
4			CLAIM H - STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY – FAILURE TO WARN					
5	□ X		CLAIM H - STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY – PRODUCTS LIABILITY ACTS					
6 7	IV. ADDITIONAL ALLEGATIONS IN SUPPORT OF VICARIOUS LIABILITY CLAIMS							
8	27.	27. Plaintiff alleges that Defendants are vicariously liable for the following intentional						
9	torts commit	orts committed by the driver in addition to being vicariously liable for the driver's negligence.						
10	28. Assault and Battery . The driver's conduct constituted an unlawful touching and a							
11	harmful and	harmful and offensive contact.						
12	29.	False Im	prisonment . The driver's conduct constituted the unlawful detention of					
13	Plaintiff, with	hout her co	nsent, whereby Plaintiff was deprived of personal liberty and freedom.					
14								
15	CLA.	<u>IMS</u>						
16		Safe Ride Matching.						
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27								
28								

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5
2	6
2	7
2	8

39. Uber tracks the rates of sexual misconduct and assault committed by its drivers against its passengers and collects data on the gender of the driver and passenger involved in those incidents.

Th

The risk of sexual assault associated with such pairings, while known to Uber based on its internal data collection and analysis, was beyond that contemplated by the ordinary user or consumer.

- 40. Uber could have, but did not, modify its matching algorithm on the backend to give female passengers the option to select female drivers. Such a modification is feasible because Uber has made such modifications in markets outside of the United States, such as Saudi Arabia. Uber has not modified the code of the matching algorithm on the backend for the version of the Uber App available in the United States market to allow for female Uber passengers, including Plaintiff, to choose gender-matched rides.
- 41. Uber knew that a gender-matching option would have prevented assaults like the one suffered by Plaintiff.
- 42. Had a gender-matching functionality been available, Plaintiff would have toggled it on for the ride in question.
- 43. Use of the gender-matching option would have prevented her assault by her male driver because Plaintiff never would have been in the car with this driver had a gender matching functionality been toggled on and would, instead, have been paired with an entirely different person.
- 44. **App-Based Ride Recording**. The Uber App was defective in its design because it could have been, but was not, designed to trigger automatic video recording of rides and the time period immediately around them, whether through using the camera already installed on a driver's cell phone during Uber trips, or through an external device linked to the App.
- 45. The presence of cameras serves a deterrent function that significantly reduces and prevents sexual assault and misconduct. Even the potential for a ride to be recorded serves a deterrent function that significantly reduces and prevents sexual assault and misconduct.

- 12 13
- 17
- 22
- 25 26
- 27 28

- 46. Uber is aware that the presence of cameras serves as a deterrent function that can and does significantly reduce sexual assault and sexual misconduct and, to that end, has explored the use of recording functionalities for the Uber App. But these recording functionalities (even if they were available during Plaintiffs' ride) are inadequately designed to address sexual assault or sexual misconduct committed by drivers against passengers.
- 47. For example, Uber developers modified the code of the Uber App on the back end to allow in-app video recording by the driver. That is, when toggled on by the driver, this functionality allowed drivers to record internal footage of Uber trips using their phone's camera as a dash camera.
- 48. In addition to making the feature optional, rather than automatic, Uber coded its in-app video recording functionality so that all recordings are encrypted in the Uber App and locally stored on the driver's cell phone, meaning that recordings cannot be obtained by Uber, law enforcement, or any third party without the express authorization of the driver.
- 49. The result is that in-app video recording does not have any deterrent effect on sexual assault or sexual misconduct by drivers against passengers because drivers exercise absolute control over whether recording happens, and because drivers know that, even if the technology is on, third parties cannot access the recordings.
- 50. Had the Uber App included automatic video monitoring of rides, by definition that feature would have been engaged on Plaintiff's ride.
- 51. Automatic video monitoring would have deterred the driver from assaulting Plaintiff.
- 52. **GPS Route Discrepancy Alerts.** Using its own internal data, Uber was aware at all relevant times that the risk of sexual assault or sexual misconduct was greatest when a driver goes off route, when a driver stops for an unusual amount of time, or when the driver and rider stay in proximity after a ride has concluded. The increased risk of sexual assault associated with these route deviations as well as the prevalence of their occurrence, were risks beyond that contemplated by the ordinary user or consumer, who lacked access to Uber's internal data or analytics.

1

7

9

6

101112

13

141516

18 19

17

21

22

23

20

2425

26

2728

- 53. The Uber App is designed to receive, track, and monitor GPS data from riders and drivers at all times while using the Uber App. Uber monitors GPS data from both driver and rider phones. Specifically, while in use, the Uber App ingests GPS location information and telematics data from driver and rider phones, which its algorithm uses to Uber uses these data to, for example, automatically direct the driver to the rider's location, and monitor the speed, braking, and other driving maneuvers, as well as to predict route times.
- 54. The data Uber collects give it the capability to detect when a ride has deviated from the expected route, including when a driver goes off route, when a driver stops for an unusual amount of time, or when the driver and rider stay in proximity after a ride has concluded.
- 55. Uber could have, and should have, designed the App to use the GPS technology that it already built into the app to automatically trigger safety alerts in the event of route deviations, early stops, unusually long stops, or excessive time spent with a passenger at the beginning or end of a route.
- 56. An appropriately-designed GPS Alert function would have triggered an alert during Plaintiff's ride due to the excessive time spent in proximity with the driver during an unexpected stop the driver made before the conclusion of the ride.
- 57. An appropriately-designed GPS Alert function would have prevented or lessened the severity of Plaintiff's assault, including by deterring the driver from engaging in the assault in the first place or summoning an intervention.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for relief and judgment against Defendants for economic and non-economic compensatory and punitive and exemplary damages, together with interest, costs of suit, attorneys' fees, and all such other relief as the Court deems proper. At this time, Plaintiff does not seek injunctive relief, but reserves all rights to later seek such relief as appropriate under Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(b)(2) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(c).

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

	Case 3:23-md-03084-CRB	Document 2510	Filed 03/14/25	Page 9 of 10
1 2	Dated: March 14, 2025		/s/ Sommer D. 1 WAGSTAFF L Sommer D. Lutl	
3			940 Lincoln Stre Denver, CO 802	eet
4			Tel: (303) 263-8	3949
5			Fax: (303) 376-6 sluther@wagsta	
6			Attorney for Pla	aintiff
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				